

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/992,018	11/26/2001	Jun Tanaka	500.39756CX1	1254	
20457	7590 08/26/2003				
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	110				
Office Action Summary			18	TANAKA ET AL.	(W				
			r	Art Unit					
		Dung Ng		2871	_				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with t	the correspondence addr	ess				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA is is one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no enation. 1ys, a reply within the starty period will apply and with the starty statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this common to the comm	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>09 June 200</i> 3							
2a)⊠		☐ This action is							
3)□									
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3,4,7,8,10 and 13-20 is/are pe	ending in the appl	ication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	c)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,8,10 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.						
	on Papers								
· <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Ex		_						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 June 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
	•	the Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			40()()					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Deta</li></ol>	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d		•		oplication).				
a)	The translation of the foreign langua	age provisional a	oplication has been	received.	,				
ے روں Attachment		iomostic priority (		120 and/01 121.					
)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). mal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/992,018

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' amendment dated 06/09/2003 has been received and entered.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 10 and 13-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-6, 9 and 11-12 of copending Application No. 09/797,720, as stated in the previous office action.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It should be noted that a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Therefore, if Applicant wishes to overcome the double patenting rejection, then a terminal disclaimer will need to filed with the response to this office action.

Art Unit: 2871

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 08/22/2003 ROBERT M. KIM
SUPERVISORY FOR AN ORYMINER
TECHNOLOGY FOR AN ORYMINER

Page 3